APPENDIX B.

QUESTIONS FOR WHICH PRINTED ANSWERS WERE FURNISHED BUT NOT REACHED FOR WANT OF TIME.

MR. R. GOPALASWAMY IYER (Minorities).—

Q.—5. Will the Government be pleased to place on the table :—

(*a*) the Government Order No. E. 3966-7—Edn. 295-22-1, dated 22nd December 1922 ;

and state—

(*b*) the number of hostels started from 1922 to 1939 for Middle, High and College classes ;

(*c*) the name and place of each hostel ;

(*d*) the amount of building grant given by the department ;

(*e*) the extent and value of land given by local bodies or Government ;

(*f*) the places where there are Middle Schools and High Schools which have no hostels ;

(*g*) the name and place of Government hostels ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—5. (*a*) A copy of the Government Order is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*b*) 78.

(*c*) A statement furnishing the information is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*d*) and (*e*) Information is being collected.

(*f*) A statement furnishing the information in respect of five districts (Chitaldrug, Mysore, Tumkur, Hassan and Kolar) is placed on the table. Information is not yet available in respect of other districts. (*Vide* Appendix).

(*g*) A list is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix).

MR. SHAMPUR PAPANNA (Minorities).—

Q.—6. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the decision of the High Court of Mysore, reported in XII. *Mysore Law Journal*, page 518, *re* the acquisition of land of one Mr. Hosdurga Srinivasa Rao of Shimoga ;

(*b*) whether they would be pleased to ascertain, in how many cases after the decision of the High Court referred to above, the Land Acquisition Officers have issued notices long after the date of the award so as to prevent the parties from having their remedies in a Court of Law ;

(*c*) whether they are aware of the fate of a similar case 64 of 37-38 on the file of the District Judge, Bangalore ;

(*d*) whether they propose to take steps to see that the rights of the parties are not affected by the actions of the Land Acquisition Officers in view of the fact that the awards are not pronounced in the presence of the claimants ?